

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/724,939	HORI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Terrence R. Till	1744	

All Participants:

(1) Terrence R. Till.

(2) J. Rodman Steele.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 September 2006

Time: 4:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 4

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Pages 1-4, 8 and 9 were amended to change occurrences of the word "filths" to --filth--. Claims 1, 2 and 4 were amended to rectify grammatical errors (claims 1 and 2) and establish antecedent basis for claim terms (claim 4)

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)